

[< Back to Document Comments \(/document/EPA-HQ-OLEM-2024-0360-0001/comment\)](#)

Share ▾

 PUBLIC SUBMISSION

Comment submitted by **Roya Tehrani**

Posted by the **Environmental Protection Agency** on Feb 25, 2025

[Docket \(/docket/EPA-HQ-OLEM-2024-0360\)](#)

[/ Document \(EPA-HQ-OLEM-2024-0360-0001\) \(/document/EPA-HQ-OLEM-2024-0360-0001\)](#) / [Comment](#)

Comment

Strengths:

Emphasis on Community Engagement: Recognizes the importance of including affected communities in the decision-making process, ensuring that those most impacted by cumulative impacts have a voice in policy making. This approach helps build trust between communities and agencies, leading to more effective policies.

Improved Data Collection and Assessment Methods: Encourages the utilization of new methodologies for assessing cumulative impacts such as single scoring, matrix approaches, and community-based approaches rather than relying on single-pollutant assessments for more comprehensive evaluations. These innovative methods allow for a more in-depth understanding of how various stressors interact and affect vulnerable populations and make it easy to prioritize communities most desperately in need.

Cultural Competence: Advocates for considering community history and culture, traditions, and lived experiences when assessing social determinants of health, ensuring that environmental justice strategies are culturally informed. By acknowledging the unique cultural context of affected communities, the framework ensures that policies are not only effective but also respectful and relevant to the populations they aim to serve.

Cross-Sector Collaboration: By promoting collaboration between federal, state, and local agencies, the framework fosters a more unified approach for assessing cumulative impacts and generating strategies to address them in vulnerable communities. It also allows them to exchange data and work collectively to identify and prioritize the most impacted communities.

Potential benefits:

Equitable Environmental Conditions: Highlighting and addressing cumulative impacts can decrease disparities in environmental health through decreasing disproportionate exposure to environmental stressors in minority and underserved communities.

Health Improvements: By identifying and mitigating cumulative impacts, the framework has the potential to reduce health disparities among marginalized communities. Studies show that reducing exposure to environmental pollutants significantly lowers the incidence of respiratory and cardiovascular diseases

Informed Policy Development: By including the affected communities in the policymaking process through

Give Feedback

the lens of their lived experiences, concerns, and ideas, the framework is likely to be more effective and responsive to the communities' needs.

Weaknesses:

Resource Limitations: The cumulative impact assessment methods discussed in the framework require significant resources including innovative data collection tools and ample funding. The available resources may not be enough to cover all communities experiencing disparities and the framework does not thoroughly discuss methods of obtaining additional resources.

Insufficient Social Determinants of Health and Intersectionality Considerations: Although the framework discusses social stressors at length, it fails to acknowledge how many other important social determinants of health, such as healthcare access and income, interact to create unique vulnerabilities to environmental stressors, potentially leading to gaps in cumulative impact assessments and environmental decision making.

Limited Enforceability: The framework provides recommendations to agencies rather than requirements. Without legally binding mandates, agencies and industries may not take meaningful action to mitigate cumulative impacts, even when they are identified.

Emphasis on Utilizing Existing Data: Although evidence-based practices are ideal, many communities affected by cumulative impacts lack environmental data and monitoring, making it difficult to assess and utilize existing information on long-term exposures and health risks to guide assessments and strategies.

Recommendations:

Specify Funding Allocations: The framework should include detailed methods for securing and allocating funding, as well as establish criteria for how funds are to be used to address the unique needs of these populations.

Create an Implementation Timeline: The current framework lacks temporality which makes it difficult to track progress. Instead, it should include specific deadlines for data collection improvements, community engagement efforts, and reporting of outcomes and adjustments to ensure accountability and timely actions from agencies.

Develop Measurable Outcomes: The framework should include specific benchmarks for goals such as reducing environmental hazards and increased community engagement to ensure proper and organized evaluations of the framework's effectiveness and progress towards mitigating cumulative impacts overtime.

Comment ID

EPA-HQ-OLEM-2024-0360-0090



Tracking Number

m7b-vxot-9eyl

Give Feedback

Comment Details

Document Subtype

Public Comment

Received Date

Feb 19, 2025

Postmark Date

Feb 19, 2025

Page Count

1



Your Voice in Federal Decision Making

- [About](#)
(/about)
- [Bulk Data Download](#)
(/bulkdownload)
- [Agencies](#)
(/agencies)
- [Learn](#)
(/learn)
- [Reports](#)
(/dotreports)
- [FAQ](#)
(/faq)
- [Commenting Guidance](#)
(/commenting-guidance)

[Privacy & Security Notice \(/privacy-notice\)](#) |
 [User Notice \(/user-notice\)](#) |
 [Accessibility Statement \(/accessibility\)](#) |
 [API Requests \(https://open.gsa.gov/api/regulationsgov/\)](https://open.gsa.gov/api/regulationsgov/) |
 [FOIA \(https://www.gsa.gov/reference/freedom-of-information-act-foia\)](https://www.gsa.gov/reference/freedom-of-information-act-foia)

[Support \(/support\)](#)

Give Feedback